Town Governance Study Committee
Public Input Listening Session

Public Safety Center, Wednesday November 20th 2019, 7.00 – 8.30 pm

Call to Order

Mr. Stumpf, as Chair of the Town Governance Study Committee (TGSC) called the meeting to order at 7.00pm in the Public Safety Center conference room. The meeting was recorded by Andover TV, but not cablecast live.

Participants

The following members of the committee were present: Town Clerk & Chief Strategy Officer Austin Simko (ex-officio), Town Moderator Sheila Doherty (ex-officio); TGSC Committee members Sandy Stapczynski, Gail Ralston, Richard Fox, David Floreen, Paul Cavicchi, Paula Colby-Clements, Andrew McBrien, and Jon Stumpf.

Eight members of the public were present. There was no formal sign-in process.

1. Opening Remarks

Mr. Stumpf welcomed the members of the public and thanked them for attending. He also thanked Andover TV for recording the meeting

2. Introductory Presentation

Mr. Stumpf and Mr. Simko gave a brief presentation to introduce the TGSC and describe the purpose of the Listening Session.

- Mr. Stumpf read the Charter of the TGSC verbatim
- Mr. Simko reviewed the overall organization of Andover’s government
- Mr. Stumpf explained the nature of the input that the committee was seeking from this session, specifically that the TGSC was hoping to hear comments on how Andover is governed, but not on specific decisions that have been made

3. Public Input

Mr. Stumpf showed a list of “conversation-starter” topics that the members of the public might consider, but stressed that the conversation need not be limited to these topics. He then invited the members of the public to provide their input.

The essence of each of their comments was captured on a flip chart as a brief bullet. The flip chart was intended to provide a highly visible and easily digested resource by which the meeting
participants could gauge whether their input was being heard correctly. Each sheet was removed from the flip chart as it became full, and remained on display for the remainder of the meeting. From time to time, the captured bullets were read back to check comprehension. The TGSC did not express opinions at this meeting, nor agree or disagree with any points made.

This following is a verbatim transcript of the flip chart sheets, with the exception that spelling and other typographical errors have been corrected, and minor additions have been incorporated (in italics) to aid comprehension.

Transcript

1. What precipitated TGSC? Lot of questions, private warrant articles
2. Community Preservation Act – tried twice, not supported. Not a structural topic so not for TGSC study. But what part of government looks at environment (etc.) could be a structural question
3. Has Andover grown to need representative government?
4. Representative government does not necessarily mean Representative Town Meeting
5. [In data gathering], look beyond MA, beyond New England
6. What should be our Executive function? Select Board and Town Manager? Town Administrator? Other?
7. [Input form on Web site] should not ask if people are employees, registered voters, under 18.
8. Largest town in MA with Open Town Meeting but 1200 [attendees] at best
9. Logistics issues at Town Meeting are exclusionary, eg [lack of] parking, seating
10. Many people feel they don’t get opportunity to serve. Appointment rests with Town Manager. Consider Appointments Committee.
11. Other towns have many more [board] positions as elected (eg Burlington)
12. Andover news did not include notification of Listening Sessions. [Consider] Communications Committee
13. [Town needs] consistent single source outlet for all town information
14. Water Commissioners – Select Board can only devote so much time to any issue, including water. Ditto Sewer Commission
15. Conservation Commission [members] pressured into certain decisions [to ensure] re-appointment
17. Planning Board accountability?
18. [The] difference between elected and appointed people feeling pressured into a decision is that whole town pressurizes via election
19. What percentage of people turn out for local elections? But what should be expectation?
20. [If Town moved to Representative Town Meeting] could there be insufficient people to fill all seats?
21. [For efforts to increase turnout] How do we know if we are succeeding without a [measurable] definition of success?
22. Is turnout [for local elections] greater if more people are running?
23. Turnout is declining for local elections (>50% in the 1950s). This is the biggest problem we face. Nothing to do with parking. People just don’t/won’t participate
24. Saturday Town Meetings? People working in Boston cannot get back by 7.00pm
25. Could elections be on Saturday (legally)?
26. How to get more voter registration? More registration = more turnout
27. Working well – strength from Town Manager function – properly trained, professional experience. Compare to elected mayors, who may win on personality, not experience/ability
28. Short ballot, focus on key positions
29. Retain Town Manager position, even if some rebalance of Select Board and Town Manager
30. Not working well – Town Meeting
31. Council/Manager form [of government] should not be equated with problems of cities
32. Cannot rely on Townsman to disseminate Town information any more. Beef up Town Web site. Town must take responsibility. Part of transparency.
33. Select Board deliberations need to be written up in much more detail
34. Articles for Town Meeting warrant so far in advance and also brief enough [for warrant to be small enough] to send to everyone. Very inefficient and prevents understanding
35. [Participant recently] lunched with people from towns with Mayor and Council, Representative Town Meeting and Open Town Meeting. [In discussions around the table, people from] all three [said their government] has strengths and weaknesses
36. In Burlington, all submittals, filings, etc for projects posted on Web site
37. Braintree - from Representative Town Meeting to Council. Framingham – Town Meeting shifting. [What can we learn from these towns?]
38. TGSC Web site – not just statements [of public input] but discussion forum

4. Next Steps

Mr. Simko presented a slide showing forthcoming outreach activities to gather further input and TGSC meetings

5. Closing Remarks

Mr. Stumpf again thanked the members of the public for their participation and for the input they had provided

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8.25pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew McBrien, Clerk

Attachments:

Introductory Presentation slides